

Appl. No. : 10/692,133  
Filed : October 23, 2003

### REMARKS

The following remarks are responsive to the November 23, 2005 Office Action. Claims 1-30 are presented for further consideration. Please reconsider the Claims in view of the following Remarks.

#### Response to Obviousness-Type Double-Patenting Rejection

In the November 23, 2005 Office Action, Claims 1-30 stand rejected on the basis of obviousness-type double-patenting over Claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,674,894. Applicants do not necessarily agree with the basis of this double-patenting rejection. Nevertheless, to advance prosecution, Applicants are submitting a Terminal Disclaimer with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,674,894 and in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(a). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of Claims 1-30 be withdrawn.

#### Summary


For the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that Claims 1-30 are in condition for allowance, and Applicants respectfully request such action.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 3/28/06

By:   
James W. Hill, M.D.  
Registration No. 46,396  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404

2256107  
010306